|   | Advanced (5)   | Proficient (4)   | Basic (3)  | Below Basic (2)  | Far Below Basic (1)   |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Central Claim                                 | Concisely combines the author's most significant claims and the <b>relationships</b> between them into a clear sentence.   | Accurately identifies the author's opinion about the most significant topics in the text.  | Accurately identifies the author's opinion about a <i>major</i> topic in the text, but  • is incomplete.  • repeats the same ideas.  • connections between ideas is unclear.   | Identifies a topic in the text but  • not the author's opinion about it.  • message is unclear.  • shows minor confusion about text.  • writes a summary / fact about text.  | Shows little to no understanding of the text.   |
| Organization &<br>Relationships Between Ideas | Presents ideas in the same order as the original text; coverage of ideas is proportional to degree they are addressed in the original text.  Makes clear the <i>relationships</i> between key ideas & details identifying their function or purpose in the text.  Examples: addresses the opposition by, introduces X as an example of how, addresses the misconception that to show, Introduces facts like to prove, explains the advantages of are to support the point that | Presents ideas in the same order as the original text.  Overall there is a sense of the relationship between ideas and details tie to or support the central claim.  | Presents ideas in roughly the same order as the text.  Makes clear some of the relationships between ideas, but  mostly limited to simplistic transitions as you move from discussing one idea to the next.  | Order of ideas is significantly different from the original text.  Connection between ideas is unclear. Focuses on each chunk as an independent piece of text.  Mostly paraphrases specific lines or lists isolated bits of information.   | Ideas appear to be presented randomly.  |
| Understanding of Text                         | <ul> <li>Shows a strong &amp; detailed understanding of the whole text beyond its literal meaning, making inferences about parts of the text that are ambiguous.</li> <li>Focuses on the key ideas, details &amp; strongest evidence the author uses to support his/her central claim.</li> <li>Context is carefully chosen.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Shows understanding of the whole text</li> <li>Focuses on parts of the text that are most critical to the author's central claim.</li> <li>Includes specific details &amp; sufficient context.</li> </ul> | Shows a general understanding of the majority of the text with some specific details & context, but  • focuses on parts of the text that are not as critical to the larger argument.  • is missing some of the more important ideas or key details.  • context needs developing. | Shows some literal understanding of the text, but  Over-generalizes author's ideas with few details.  If details are presented, there is little to no context.  Heavily relies on author's language.  Demonstrates some confusion.  Leaves out discussing chunks of text or is incomplete. | <ul> <li>Focuses on only a very small portion of the text.</li> <li>Describes personal opinions and own ideas about the topic instead of the author's.</li> <li>Mostly uses the author's language.</li> </ul> |
| Attribution                                   | Uses strong verbs that accurately convey the author's meaning and tone (challenges, proposes, questions, cautions, predicts, defines, refutes, envisions, concedes, etc.).  Smoothly integrates attributive phrases clearly and consistently distinguishing ideas of author & those from other sources he/she cites.   | Uses a variety of verbs and attributive phrases to credit the author.  Accurately attributes ideas from other sources the author has cited.  | Makes clear that ideas are author's and accurately attributes other sources in the text besides the original author, however, verbs are limited &/or repetitive.   | Some portions of your summary sound like you are writing about your own ideas vs. the author's.  Does not attempt to or accurately attribute ideas belonging to other sources the author cites.  | Your summary mostly sounds like the ideas are yours instead of the author's.  |